

### **CONFERENCE MEMO**

Cordova Rd GVEC Utility Meeting August 8, 2023 Page 1 of 3

# **CONFERENCE MEMO**

Cordova Rd

**PROJECT:** SH 46 to SH 123 **DATE:** August 11, 2023

Seguin, TX

**CONFERENCE LOCATION:** Virtual – Microsoft Teams

Meeting

**CONFERENCE DATE:** August 8, 2023

**PURPOSE OF MEETING:** Utility Meeting

Deepa Elter – Pape-Dawson Steven Tate - Pape-Dawson

ATTENDEES: David Wilder - Pape-Dawson

Travis Engler - GVEC Matthew Allen - GVEC

**FROM:** Deepa Elter, P.E., CFM **PROJECT NO.:** 12775-00

cc: Attendees, File

## **DISCUSSION:**

Below is an outline of the discussion and direction for the project listed above. Action items and/or question responses are shown in the bold and italic format.

- Scope
  - o Roadway widening from 2 lane to 4 lane with a raised center median
  - Shared use bath on both sides
  - o Acquire variable width ROW, 120' minimum
  - o Drainage improvements

IH 10 dont use slang

- Huber Road Project
  - GVEC advised this is a City of Seguin project on Huber Rd from I-10 to Cordova Rd with BGE as the consultant
  - o PD requested BGE POC information. GVEC will provide
- Specific Conflicts
  - Conflicts at SH 46

Transportation | Water Resources | Land Development | Surveying | Environmental



#### **CONFERENCE MEMO**

Cordova Rd GVEC UtilityMeeting August 8, 2023 Page 2 of 3

may

After discussion, GVEC advised will more than likely follow new alignment at SH 46 dependent on the needs of developments in that area on the north side of Cordova within proposed
 Rd

pavement of the new

intersection at SH 46

Sheet 4

GVEC advised there are 2 poles not shown in utility conflicts that will conflict at southeastern corner.

PD advised facilities will need to follow proposed ROW northeastward, cross over Cordova Rd, then travel back to SH 46 along proposed ROW. Traffic signal plans will be provided at 60% corner clip

that txdot may require

o Easements

- GVEC advised STA 120+00 proposed ROW will be difficult to accommodate
- PD advised proposed ROW follows development lot lines on north side. Utilities will need to be within 3′ of ROW. PD suggested potentially crossing over to the southern end and traveling eastward on the southern end until out of the area, then transition back to north side when convenient
- GVEC advised they are concerned about installing facilities with proposed space when factoring in drainage improvements
- PD advised there should be enough space on either side of the ditch and suggested
   potentially obtaining easement outside ROW to allow for installation vehicles.
- GVEC advised they will inquire about this

o STA 158

\_GVEC requested 30% cross sections for review. PD to

- GVEC advised of concerns about congestion and expressed desire to keep facilities on private property aside from lines crossing Cordova Rd.
- PD advised the depth of lines crossing will need to be evaluated and if it is not deep enough, adjust the facilities
   adjustment will be required
- Conflict 190 (Sheet 17) Underground electric with riser pole is present
- Substation

insert "G line and tempora exceptio

new line

Transportation | Water Resources | Land Development | Surveying | Environmental



Cordova Rd GVEC UtilityMeeting August 8, 2023 Page 3 of 3

- PD advised it has not yet been confirmed who will be responsible for road in front of substation. It depends on if land owner wants the City of Seguin to keep it.
- GVEC expressed concern that if land owner obtains ownership, it will be up to them to maintain it and potentially affect GVEC and LCRA's ability to utilitize it for substation access
- GVEC advised they have Underground fiber optics near STA 282+00 on old Cordova Rd that is not shown on layout
- PD advised they are communicating with TRG for additional investigation
- Conflict 311 GVEC advised unsure if it belongs to GVEC or LCRA and meant to investigate prior to meeting. They will follow up
- STA 280+00
  - GVEC advised there are 2 circuit feeders into vault at STA 274 that cross over from south side to north side
  - PD advised they will avoid as many relocations in substation area as possible
- Sheet 26

investigate design revision to avoid

- GVEC advised facilities in this area are very expensive to relocate/replace. The
   vault at this location cannot have pavement on top
- PD advised TxDOT has a similar letting date for SH 123 project, but unsure if
   PS&E has started which could potentially affect utility coordination

## **END OF MEMO**

P:\116\35\01\Meetings\220926\_Utility Regroup Meeting\220926\_SH35\_Utility Regroup Meeting Minutes.docx

Transportation | Water Resources | Land Development | Surveying | Environmental